Page 1, Q3. Township disposal sites are adequate.

1	More recycle bins - in summer always full. June clean-up need to have more often.	Oct 28, 2013 9:37 AM
2	Having them open an extra day would be good.	Sep 30, 2013 1:25 PM
3	Need more recycle	Sep 30, 2013 1:12 PM
4	Regular street side pick-up.	Sep 24, 2013 9:48 AM
5	Yard waste, branches, leaves - go to Emmet recycle and see what they have done.	Sep 24, 2013 9:28 AM
6	Recycling bins are always full.	Sep 23, 2013 11:32 AM
7	Dennis does above and beyond his job. He is fantastic.	Sep 23, 2013 10:42 AM
8	More recycle bins - they are always full.	Sep 18, 2013 2:39 PM
9	Need more unlimited dump days.	Sep 17, 2013 2:38 PM
10	Denny does a great job!	Sep 16, 2013 2:42 PM
11	Cost of disposal sites should be covered by property tax.	Sep 16, 2013 1:11 PM
12	Denny is the best help we have had in 40 years.	Sep 16, 2013 12:08 PM
13	Additional hours/days	Sep 16, 2013 10:58 AM
14	Occasioanally recycle containers too full more than a week.	Sep 16, 2013 10:14 AM
15	Indian Rd site manager "Denny" should get a "Star" rating and a raise. His yard is kept like a park!	Sep 12, 2013 1:13 PM
16	Open more hours.	Sep 12, 2013 1:09 PM
17	Need to have a container closer to walk gate at West site for older people.	Sep 12, 2013 1:00 PM
18	Recycling hours needs to increase in summer.	Sep 12, 2013 12:43 PM
19	It's rare for a township to offer recycling and it's a privilege to have it. Some homes continue to have junk-filled yards. Provide help to clean?	Sep 12, 2013 11:13 AM
20	Recycle containers need to be emptied more often.	Sep 12, 2013 11:00 AM
21	Empty dumpsters more frequentlyl on east side.	Sep 12, 2013 10:58 AM
22	Denny is great! How about paving site?	Sep 12, 2013 10:21 AM
23	Containers are never emptied at correct time.	Sep 10, 2013 2:24 PM
24	Dennis is wonderful.	Sep 10, 2013 11:33 AM
25	Slots for recyclables do not accomodate bags of items such as bottles (water).	Sep 10, 2013 11:31 AM

Page 1, Q3. Township disposal sites are adequate.

26	Need grass and tree limb service.	Sep 10, 2013 11:18 AM
27	Too often trash carriers are full (i.e. to recycle)	Sep 10, 2013 10:39 AM
28	Place to dump grass/bush/tree trimmings	Sep 10, 2013 9:46 AM
29	More recycling ducation and aviailabililty to sites not convient. We bring our recyclying downstate.	Sep 9, 2013 2:04 PM
30	Utilities should remove parts they replace (like cable) rather than just leave them in the woods.	Sep 5, 2013 12:39 PM
31	Save the money and let residents contract with a local business.	Sep 5, 2013 11:56 AM
32	In summer, Monday hours should be 10am to 5pm.	Sep 3, 2013 11:31 AM
33	Would like to have a yard waste/brush compost.	Sep 3, 2013 11:15 AM
34	Occasionally poor pick -up. Insufficienct recycling bins.	Sep 3, 2013 10:01 AM

Page 2, Q7. Which libraries do you or your family use? (mark all that apply)

1	Utilize the internet mostly	Oct 24, 2013 9:14 AM
2	I used Cheboygan - then it was not funded. Then Indian River - then IR no longer funded. I wish I knew before it happens.	Oct 17, 2013 11:51 AM
3	Rarely use.	Sep 26, 2013 9:40 AM
4	Still use a downstate library.	Sep 24, 2013 2:48 PM
5	I refuse to pay the Cheboygan library because I live outside the city.	Sep 24, 2013 11:22 AM
6	I don't live there, vacation property only.	Sep 24, 2013 9:48 AM
7	Bloomfield Twp, Oakland County - on line.	Sep 24, 2013 9:45 AM
8	Need full services	Sep 23, 2013 12:56 PM
9	Summer residents - do not use library.	Sep 23, 2013 11:31 AM
10	We can't take full advantage of the library as Burt Township doesn't pay for its Township services!	Sep 23, 2013 10:47 AM
11	We don't use any because we haave to use Indian River and its too far away - we used to use Pellston but now we can't because we are over the County Line. Wherever we go we have to pay through the nose for a Library card and gas.	Sep 17, 2013 9:56 AM
12	Use WEB service	Sep 12, 2013 12:55 PM
13	Gaylord	Sep 10, 2013 2:47 PM
14	Livonia, MI	Sep 10, 2013 1:49 PM
15	We used to use Indian River befor they blocked all but Tuscarora Township.	Sep 9, 2013 11:46 AM
16	Levering	Sep 5, 2013 2:38 PM
17	Google/wikipedia	Sep 5, 2013 2:30 PM
18	Because we are here primarilyl summer months only, we do (not) us the libraries.	Sep 3, 2013 10:24 AM

Page 2, Q11. Services and library comments:

1	Natural gas #1 and internet that works #2.	Oct 28, 2013 9:38 AM
2	Too many Libraries - need to consolidate.	Oct 24, 2013 9:14 AM
3	We do not have funded library so I have not used one unless I paid in last year - should not have to pay for membership.	Oct 17, 2013 11:51 AM
4	Keep single family zoning as is on lakefront - no more parks.	Oct 8, 2013 2:18 PM
5	Topinabee Library is wonderful, small but convenient. Help is friendly and helpful. (Comfortable place?)	Sep 30, 2013 1:13 PM
6	Not at Lake at the time of annual June clean-up so do not use, would use if present at that time.	Sep 30, 2013 10:18 AM
7	Cable TV & Internet services are needed.	Sep 30, 2013 10:14 AM
8	Clean-up day should be advertised and signage at Township disposal sites. What would be the plan to increase fire/safety. We need better access to water for fire purposes, especially in the winter.	Sep 24, 2013 2:48 PM
9	All the above services are necessary - the problem is personal attitude, even if the services are provided careless people still cause problems. Education and enforcement of basic clean-up rules - along with County/Township effort to maintain the services.	Sep 24, 2013 9:31 AM
10	We read a great deal. Year round road maintenance, fire service	Sep 23, 2013 12:39 PM
11	Recycling didn't even make the list of priorities! Doesn't the waste disposal fee make the sites self supporting? We haven't been able to learn what library is available to us. The attendant at the West Disposal site is helpful and courteous. I wish I knew his name. The recycling bins at the west site are often filled (hence not available) on the days one can drive in the gate. It's easier to simply use the Emmet County recycling sites. We pay a recycling tax but it seems that only pays for the recycling director. We only obtained the second bin when the hospital in Petoskey was sold. This service is generally inadequate. What does the county do width the recycling income?	Sep 23, 2013 12:36 PM
12	Indian River Library needs to move on to CD's. Cindy does a great job.	Sep 23, 2013 11:45 AM
13	Excellent work by Township to continue to pave additional Township roads and maintain them. That's a lot of library choices!	Sep 23, 2013 11:39 AM
14	Cheboygan library is $50.00 per person.	Sep 23, 2013 11:27 AM
15	My eyes are not good enough to read.	Sep 23, 2013 11:19 AM
16	We use no library because I was told Topinabee is the one I am entitled to use, otherwise I pay for a card. $80.00 - too much. The roads are the worst.	Sep 23, 2013 11:10 AM
17	The millage for road maintenance is adequate, the maintenance and improvement are not. I think it is deplorable that the Township would consider a day park after just funding development and maintainer of a bike trail, but not properly fun library services.	Sep 23, 2013 10:47 AM

Page 2, Q11. Services and library comments:

18	Disappointed that we now have to pay a fee to use the library, but we will continue to support it.	Sep 23, 2013 10:06 AM
19	Would like to see main roads, e. g. West Burt Lake, plowed on winter weekends.	Sep 23, 2013 9:57 AM
20	Do not want recreational facilities established as a budget priority.	Sep 23, 2013 9:54 AM
21	We don't use library - would probably use Indian River or Petoskey.	Sep 23, 2013 9:38 AM
22	Our family used the Cheboygan library until we no longer qualified for free use of the library and would be charged for library cards.	Sep 23, 2013 9:36 AM
23	The priority for paving roads needs to be reviewed. There are more homes on South, S. Extension Road than on Service Road that was just paved.	Sep 18, 2013 2:51 PM
24	W Burt Lake does not need a day park.	Sep 17, 2013 2:56 PM
25	Paramedics used out of date map - Lathers Rd - delayed time.	Sep 17, 2013 2:40 PM
26	We would have to pay anywhere from $30.00-$50.00 depending on where we go for Library membership. Our Road is not taken care of very well in the winter, especially on weekends. Some days we can't get through.	Sep 17, 2013 9:56 AM
27	Won't be long until libraries become 'extinct' due to technology. Invest in 'one book' libraries (iBooks)	Sep 17, 2013 9:31 AM
28	Do not use the libraries.	Sep 16, 2013 11:06 AM
29	Roads need to be repaved (Brutus, W Burt Lake Rd.) Utility lines need to be buried. Libraries should be funded, including internet access.	Sep 16, 2013 10:29 AM
30	"Land Use" should mean helping property owners to accomplish their goals within sensible regulation.	Sep 16, 2013 10:15 AM
31	I was told the Federal government had something to do for the reason I had to pay for a library card?	Sep 16, 2013 10:09 AM
32	Essential services should be the priority. Land use and recreation are the responsibility of the County and State.	Sep 16, 2013 10:02 AM
33	I do not use the library, but I sure think it is more important than a park.	Sep 12, 2013 12:00 PM
34	We need natural gas and sanitary sewers.	Sep 12, 2013 10:49 AM
35	Bringing cable in would be a huge benefit to homeowners. Natural gas would also be a huge benefit.	Sep 12, 2013 9:17 AM
36	We should contribute to Indian River Library as it is closest library for many of us. The Indian River library charger Burt Township people $20 now because they say our township contributes $0 to them. If true, the township should support the Indian River Library.	Sep 10, 2013 2:47 PM
37	Would use Cheboygan Library if I didn't have to pay. Could use Township Park on W Burt Road. Buy back DNR property, no boat launch needed.	Sep 10, 2013 2:29 PM

Page 2, Q11. Services and library comments:

38	Good Library services!	Sep 10, 2013 2:08 PM
39	How did "Land Use" become part of 'Services".	Sep 10, 2013 1:57 PM
40	I currently don't live here year round.	Sep 10, 2013 1:49 PM
41	Used to use Cheboygan and Indian River - are no longer allowed. Topinabee tries to fill our needs but just can't carry enough material may take up to 4 or 5 days to get material from other libraries.	Sep 10, 2013 1:30 PM
42	Please pursue natural gas service to the W Burt Lake and Maple Bay areas.	Sep 10, 2013 1:17 PM
43	Libraries too far to be useful.	Sep 10, 2013 12:07 PM
44	Roads area well maintained (especially compared to our neighbors)	Sep 10, 2013 10:40 AM
45	No day park.	Sep 10, 2013 10:35 AM
46	We need library services or at least free services at Petoskey or Cheboygan libraries.	Sep 10, 2013 10:33 AM
47	I simply can't afford any millage increases. Fixed income, no way to increase income or cut living expanses. After bills, insufficient food and gasoline no money left.	Sep 10, 2013 10:23 AM
48	Libraries should be current with services and materials to be used by residents- if out dated or service not available the library will not be used.	Sep 10, 2013 9:52 AM
49	For sure bury power lines & natural gas!	Sep 10, 2013 9:28 AM
50	If by land use, you mean conservation/preservation, then this IS a top proority	Sep 9, 2013 2:28 PM
51	The road to the township hall needs to be paved if we are expected to vote at the township hall. Senior ability to get absentee ballots is way too difficult. Power is down a lot. We paid to bury our lines from the last pole to our property - others should do the same.	Sep 9, 2013 1:25 PM
52	Utilities should be left to the utility companies. Most power outages have been the result of problems with underground lines. Libraries are important for young people.	Sep 9, 2013 1:18 PM
53	Use Cheboygan library @ a cost of $50.00 per family per year. Road services, summer grading are poor and winter snow removal is poor and on weekends - no show with plow.	Sep 9, 2013 1:12 PM
54	I would like to see Burt Twp. chip in so we could use the Indian River library. It is convenient and on the way home.	Sep 9, 2013 11:46 AM
55	We prefer to buy books rather than use library facilities.	Sep 9, 2013 11:31 AM
56	We use the Topinabee books and computer services all summer and make a donation to them every year. Keep up the good Work!	Sep 9, 2013 11:05 AM
57	Numbers road West of Eagles Nest should be paved.	Sep 9, 2013 10:24 AM

Page 2, Q11. Services and library comments:

58	Great Libraries. Topinabee Mail Route and Crump Road good.	Sep 5, 2013 2:52 PM
59	Road signs, striping, maintenance.	Sep 5, 2013 2:38 PM
60	Burt Township roads (especially W Burt Lake Rd & Brutus Rd) are in terrible shape.	Sep 5, 2013 2:35 PM
61	I pay internet - Question 9	Sep 5, 2013 2:30 PM
62	Roads everywhere are in very poor condition this year.	Sep 5, 2013 2:15 PM
63	Do not use libraries because they require a fee.	Sep 5, 2013 2:05 PM
64	We qualify for free library use due to senior citizen status. We have used the library in Alanson several times; always very resourceful. The hours of operation are not always compatible with our needs however.	Sep 5, 2013 1:16 PM
65	Why did Board cut financial support to library in Indian River?	Sep 5, 2013 11:57 AM
66	Not here enough to use libraries, but would support them.	Sep 5, 2013 11:38 AM
67	Keep services the same and LOWER the tax base.	Sep 5, 2013 10:48 AM
68	Some roads need major repairs	Sep 5, 2013 10:34 AM
69	Services good - library NO interest.	Sep 5, 2013 10:31 AM
70	The Topinabee library is outstanding.	Sep 5, 2013 10:14 AM
71	These are too different to be ranked in order or priority!	Sep 3, 2013 1:20 PM
72	We have at least one power outage each season. If lines were underground wind and trees, would not cause effect.	Sep 3, 2013 12:59 PM
73	Canceling our Burt Township membership to the Indian River Library is a disgrace.	Sep 3, 2013 11:09 AM
74	Road grading at least twice a year needed.	Sep 3, 2013 10:51 AM
75	We need access to Cheboygan and Indian River.	Sep 3, 2013 10:28 AM
76	Law enforcement/EMS/Fire services should be priority.	Sep 3, 2013 10:14 AM

Page 3, Q16. List other recreational opportunities/facilities that are important to you.

1	None	Oct 17, 2013 11:43 AM
2	I hear they are thinking about a day park, what a waste of money, we already have them. Nothing but pollution and drunks.	Sep 30, 2013 10:46 AM
3	Township should provide basic parks and lake access but number of parks and access sites.	Sep 26, 2013 9:52 AM
4	Handicap are not allowed use on non-motorized trail.	Sep 26, 2013 9:40 AM
5	Very opposed to putting a day park in middle of residential area poor choice to be pursuing.	Sep 24, 2013 2:54 PM
6	Bike paths.	Sep 24, 2013 2:48 PM
7	Who maintains the refuse left by careless people? Use strict pack-in/pack-out rules.	Sep 24, 2013 9:32 AM
8	ATV trails.	Sep 24, 2013 9:25 AM
9	Would not support millage increase for any of above. Why was this question not asked???	Sep 23, 2013 12:47 PM
10	Shelters for gatherings of all kinds, family,___,weddings, bands and just plain shelter from the weather.	Sep 23, 2013 11:51 AM
11	Looking forward to the bike trail on the east side of the lake.	Sep 23, 2013 11:41 AM
12	I can't think of anything worse for Burt Lake at this time (Park-Lakefront). I really don't feel it is the Townships' responsibility to provide recreation services and facilities to me. When I voted a straight ticket Republican for the trustees, I voted for responsible fiscal spending. No questions are asked about the ongoing financial responsibility of maintaining the new trail., yet there are numerous questions about other new financial liabilities.	Sep 23, 2013 10:55 AM
13	First priority is to keep the lake clean and enforce zoning and noise ordinances.	Sep 23, 2013 10:07 AM
14	Access to University of Michigan Bio station for x-country skiing and biking.	Sep 23, 2013 9:59 AM
15	Strongly object to the proposal to acquire/develop a Township Park at the DNR site on West Burt Lake Road.	Sep 23, 2013 9:55 AM
16	Access to areas without getting into a car (via trail connection).	Sep 23, 2013 9:40 AM
17	Hunting.	Sep 18, 2013 2:46 PM
18	Parking facilities needed for the Burt Lake Trail. Only people having immediate access to the trail can us it now. Now cars are damaging the right-of-way.	Sep 17, 2013 2:42 PM
19	Total "beginning to trail end" planning, persuading, purchasing needs to be done BEFORE actual work is started, so that ALL parties/property owners agree to the entire trail route. (some folks might not want strangers to have access via a trail to their property they paid a LOT of money to own.	Sep 17, 2013 9:37 AM

Page 3, Q16. List other recreational opportunities/facilities that are important to you.

20	Comment: I do NOT support the proposed racetrack on Griswalt Mt. While I am in Burt Township, I live on the township line and will be impacted, I feet negatively, by that proposed development.	Sep 16, 2013 1:16 PM
21	All public access swimming sites.	Sep 16, 2013 12:59 PM
22	I am NOT in favor of day park on W Burt Lake Rd at property currently owned by DNR.	Sep 16, 2013 12:50 PM
23	We are VERY supportive of Grilswold MTN Racetrack.	Sep 16, 2013 12:45 PM
24	None, as far as a lakefront park on the north arm.	Sep 16, 2013 12:10 PM
25	None - there are plenty.	Sep 16, 2013 12:07 PM
26	Existing facilities and opportunities are great. We do not want more of either in Burt Township.	Sep 16, 2013 11:02 AM
27	ORV trails/track	Sep 16, 2013 10:59 AM
28	Snowmobile trails causes noise pollution, destruction of property vandalism. Day park causes noise pollution, destruction of property, vandalism, devaluation of current property owners, liability and safety issues. Do not support millage of increase taxes. Survey is skewed and will be contested as written, if needed legally. Park-Lakefront (intended DNR/Garnicki property is not appropriate). Township has no money to buy property, do not support millage or other tax increases to fund park. DNR property should remain 'WR' Waterfront Residential as supported in current Master Plan. This survey has purposely left out question do you support millage or increase in property taxes to perpetually maintain property. Park will increase nose pollution, trash, destruction of property, vandalism, boat traffic in a population dense area and noise and safety concern. Violates WR residential which Supreme Court of Michigan upheld. Do not under estimate the opposition to this park! or any park of this nature!!	Sep 16, 2013 10:44 AM
29	Hunting, boating, motorcycling, fishing (summer & winter), snowmobiling, ATV are important. We have plenty of natural trails and country roads in Burt Township. Snowmobiling trails brings in dollars. Day use part is a great idea - funding source?	Sep 16, 2013 10:20 AM
30	The base of the services should be protected by the County and State.	Sep 16, 2013 10:05 AM
31	I think existing recreational opportunities/facilities are abundant and more than adequate to meet the needs of the Township community.	Sep 16, 2013 9:47 AM
32	Don't need any more park-lakefront on the West side. Maple Bay is very adequate for the West side.	Sep 12, 2013 1:01 PM
33	No new park. Off lake facilities needed. Purpose of survey to convert Garnecki property into public park. Long term effect loss of property values - added pollution and contamination of Burt, all at property owners expense.	Sep 12, 2013 11:57 AM
34	ATV - ORV trails.	Sep 12, 2013 10:54 AM
35	We DO NOT need a park. The MAJORITY voted NO!! DROP IT!	Sep 12, 2013 10:45 AM

Page 3, Q16. List other recreational opportunities/facilities that are important to you.

36	Use UMBS trails - they are free. Who maintains and pays for non-motorized trails? Who pays for the Park-Lakefront? Users or tax payers?	Sep 12, 2013 10:28 AM
37	We don't need anymore access or parks.	Sep 12, 2013 10:14 AM
38	Take care of us - not a day park for outsiders.	Sep 12, 2013 9:54 AM
39	Maple Bay boat launch.	Sep 12, 2013 9:23 AM
40	A beach. Pavilion in a park setting so township can enjoy music or picnics together.	Sep 10, 2013 2:50 PM
41	Should incorporate new trail for snowmobile use, funds available for maintenance by snowmobile grooming clubs, would not cost taxpayer ad dime.	Sep 10, 2013 2:36 PM
42	Tennis courts No tax for a park.	Sep 10, 2013 2:21 PM
43	I am OPPOSED to Township expenditure of even one penny to acquire, improve, or operate ANY new recreational sites!! In particular a day park on the lake is ridiculous. We cannot afford to acquire it or operate it; the proposed rule against motor boats is a joke!! The neighborhood will become another "sand bar" party site similar to Maple Bay. I will heartily support recall of any Township officials who continue to support issues which are overwhelmingly opposed by the residents. Have you all forgotten the $100,000 of tax payer money that was willingly spent to stop development of a park in the exact location which is now being considered?	Sep 10, 2013 2:04 PM
44	I like the new hiking, bike trails. I do cross country ski in the back woods for years and on Burt Lake.	Sep 10, 2013 1:51 PM
45	Burt lake boat launch.	Sep 10, 2013 1:47 PM
46	Very poor design and investment of funds in recent bike trail.	Sep 10, 2013 1:18 PM
47	Facilities for mobility - impaired to enjoy resources.	Sep 10, 2013 12:08 PM
48	Fishing.	Sep 10, 2013 11:41 AM
49	Trees and undamaged shoreline.	Sep 10, 2013 11:35 AM
50	Do not get in the park business.	Sep 10, 2013 11:12 AM
51	NO DAY PARK!	Sep 10, 2013 11:01 AM
52	Maple Bay Boat ramp great.	Sep 10, 2013 10:54 AM
53	They are only important to extent if they can be done within current budgets and revenues.	Sep 10, 2013 10:25 AM
54	A.T.V.	Sep 10, 2013 10:01 AM
55	Continue the bike t rail around the east side.	Sep 10, 2013 9:29 AM
56	We do not need or want additional public facilities at or near the lake.	Sep 9, 2013 2:29 PM

Page 3, Q16. List other recreational opportunities/facilities that are important to you.

57	No new parks are needed on the lake.	Sep 9, 2013 1:58 PM
58	Quiet living.	Sep 9, 2013 1:48 PM
59	We own lakefront on Mullett Lake. This is unbuildable waterfront to save money on our cost of that waterfront. We have had all kinds of problems with egress to our waterfront even though my husband is 100% VA disabled. They don't like our bridge across the ditch for egress. Now have lawyers help!	Sep 9, 2013 1:29 PM
60	DeVoe beach fish cleaning station.	Sep 9, 2013 11:47 AM
61	I do not support the Township purchasing the Garnecki property and changing current zoning. We need to protect the Township's zoning laws not "change" them at the "whim" of the local officials. The property should be back on the tax rolls and not owned by the Township or the DNR (State).	Sep 9, 2013 11:22 AM
62	Boating	Sep 5, 2013 2:52 PM
63	Lake restrooms, boat launch areas.	Sep 5, 2013 1:38 PM
64	Would like to see Garnicks Property used as was suppose to. Not as a DNR meeting place.	Sep 5, 2013 1:03 PM
65	ORV trails expanded.	Sep 5, 2013 12:52 PM
66	Burt Lake for summer & winter recreational activities. State land for snowmobile and dirt bikes. 2nd road for biking.	Sep 5, 2013 11:59 AM
67	The Burt Lake/Mullett Lake trail project is by far the most important work underway. The 9 lake access locations in addition to the State park are MORE than adequate. The W. Burt Lake parcel DNR site should not be acquired no matter the some of funds. It is not needed in long term liability.	Sep 5, 2013 11:29 AM
68	Lower the tax base, show us cost reductions - at all levels of service.	Sep 5, 2013 10:49 AM
69	There are non-profit groups that can provide and maintain trails - not up to Township and taxpayer dollars. ie: Little Traverse Bay Conservancy.	Sep 5, 2013 10:39 AM
70	Road ends should be limited to prevent increase of boat traffic on Burt lake.	Sep 5, 2013 10:11 AM
71	Recreational opportunities are important to quality of life and property values; they should be encouraged and developed for the benefit of the community.	Sep 3, 2013 12:49 PM
72	Parks should be created and serviced by Federal or State Parks departments - NOT Townships.	Sep 3, 2013 11:38 AM
73	Hunting	Sep 3, 2013 11:24 AM
74	We need all to survive. All the above. We need the tourists.	Sep 3, 2013 11:03 AM
75	Hunting opportunities on State & private lands.	Sep 3, 2013 10:15 AM

Page 3, Q18. Describe any improvements needed to the road ends.

1	None - Need to fix the main roads that feed the road ends (pot holes, side erosion, etc.)	Oct 24, 2013 9:15 AM
2	None	Oct 17, 2013 2:39 PM
3	What about Sand Road? I am always upset it has been blocked over 35 years, but we use it every year.	Oct 17, 2013 11:52 AM
4	None	Oct 8, 2013 2:19 PM
5	Hardwood Road needs to be graded.	Oct 3, 2013 2:40 PM
6	Too much gravel on White Goose Drive, has increased height of road and causes water to stand on our lot and drive, some has also washed into the Lake	Sep 30, 2013 1:13 PM
7	None	Sep 30, 2013 10:46 AM
8	Improved security/patrolling to ticket people littering, trespassing on neighboring private property, disorderly conduct, etc.	Sep 26, 2013 9:52 AM
9	Keep boat launch facilities up to date and repaired.	Sep 26, 2013 9:40 AM
10	I do not use these road end sites because my boat needs deeper water. I use a marina or private facilities.	Sep 26, 2013 9:35 AM
11	Fine as is.	Sep 24, 2013 2:48 PM
12	Keep the lake people from trying to run us off the road ends!	Sep 24, 2013 2:44 PM
13	The State site at Maple Bay is now great!	Sep 24, 2013 9:45 AM
14	Mundt Road - dredge for boat launching.	Sep 23, 2013 12:54 PM
15	Stabilize with cement to 3 feet deep.	Sep 23, 2013 12:40 PM
16	Mundt needs to be much deeper.	Sep 23, 2013 11:57 AM
17	Could mow what grass is there and check on any trash. Be sure each spring that any repairs are done to the ramp at Hoppies.	Sep 23, 2013 11:41 AM
18	Need to dredge Hoppies launch.	Sep 23, 2013 11:36 AM
19	Maple Bay Road is great. Parking is inadequate at some sites or nonexistent.	Sep 23, 2013 11:11 AM
20	Township roads are dangerous! There is no edge on many parts of roads. There are massive pot holes. Mundt Road is an absolute disaster. There are no questions on this survey about bike safety and speed limits. Please instigate the speed limits.	Sep 23, 2013 10:55 AM
21	Wider access at Mundt. Identification of right of way to turn around in at the access site.	Sep 23, 2013 9:40 AM
22	Indian Road is not open.	Sep 18, 2013 2:40 PM

Page 3, Q18. Describe any improvements needed to the road ends.

23	Can't use Road End sites. Why???	Sep 17, 2013 2:50 PM
24	Thanks for improvements to Maple Bay Road.	Sep 17, 2013 2:42 PM
25	Many are not clearly marked or are overgrown - some have buildings on or close to the site. Before we bought land, we did research so our property would not be at a road end or lake access site. Still good advise.	Sep 17, 2013 9:37 AM
26	Pave Mundt Road.	Sep 16, 2013 2:43 PM
27	I would use Hoppies boat launch if it was improved.	Sep 16, 2013 1:19 PM
28	Swimmers itch control.	Sep 16, 2013 12:59 PM
29	Keep clean and cut. New Maple Bay Road site need to be cut regularly - weeds are growing too fast and taking over shrubs and trees.	Sep 16, 2013 11:07 AM
30	The road ends are great! Well done!	Sep 16, 2013 11:02 AM
31	Improve ramps.	Sep 16, 2013 10:44 AM
32	Maple Bay is beautiful! Mundt Road could use a little help. Access from Indian Road?	Sep 16, 2013 10:20 AM
33	The new Maple Bay ramp is not adequate for all watercraft - too short!	Sep 16, 2013 10:05 AM
34	I am happy with the current condition of the road ends. Keep up the good work!	Sep 16, 2013 9:47 AM
35	We also use West Burt Lake Rd in summer and winter.	Sep 12, 2013 1:15 PM
36	Indian Road - keep clear to lake, both summer & winter.	Sep 12, 2013 12:58 PM
37	Indian Road is not even accessible - It is like a private street.	Sep 12, 2013 12:52 PM
38	Road end sites should be clearly marked and kept accessible.	Sep 12, 2013 11:57 AM
39	Sturgeon Bay Road summer.	Sep 12, 2013 10:40 AM
40	Maple Bay Great! Mundt Road tilted. Can only launch PWC or small fishing boats. Not deep or steep enough. Could build a raised concrete platform out to where water is deep enough. No dredging required and winter proof!	Sep 12, 2013 10:28 AM
41	Grounds maintenance at new Maple Bay launch site. Also, speed limit signs needed. Also, no parking signs needed.	Sep 12, 2013 9:23 AM
42	I was never informed I could use the road ends. Don't know where most of them are. Would like to receive a sheet describing them and if parking is available.	Sep 10, 2013 2:50 PM
43	Should be improved, for taxpayers use maybe a pay for use fee such as DNR sites of money is needed.	Sep 10, 2013 2:36 PM
44	Haven't visited the road end sites yet.	Sep 10, 2013 2:17 PM
45	Use of Needles Road site is discouraged by property owners there. It is un-kept	Sep 10, 2013 2:15 PM

Page 3, Q18. Describe any improvements needed to the road ends.

	by the county. It is useless to non-waterfront owners. Would love to see it open to everyone.	
46	None needed - Maple Bay is now more than adequate. Other road ends are shallow water areas where a good launch area would require dredging.	Sep 10, 2013 2:04 PM
47	Make sure the land owners on each side of road ends don't discourage the locals from using the area. (Roberts)	Sep 10, 2013 1:31 PM
48	Hoppies needs some improvement - nothing major.	Sep 10, 2013 11:41 AM
49	Am not aware of road end site on Numbers Road on Burt Lake - in fact there is private property at road end - no public access.	Sep 10, 2013 11:29 AM
50	Some work needed on Mundt Road.	Sep 10, 2013 11:16 AM
51	Hard Wood Road (open up for access).	Sep 10, 2013 10:58 AM
52	Hoppies ramp too shallow - poor condition.	Sep 10, 2013 10:54 AM
53	Widen the road end.	Sep 10, 2013 10:37 AM
54	Burt-Mullett one is very good after spring improvements.	Sep 10, 2013 10:25 AM
55	Tuscarora Township needs to repair Eagle Nest Road. Numbers Road does not end at the lake.	Sep 10, 2013 9:55 AM
56	Improve ramp @ Hoppies.	Sep 10, 2013 9:49 AM
57	Access.	Sep 10, 2013 9:33 AM
58	Maple Bay is great now!	Sep 10, 2013 9:29 AM
59	None. Maple Bay improvements are sufficient.	Sep 9, 2013 1:58 PM
60	We have adequate access at State Park, Township or River, Maple Bay and Burt-Mullett for non-lake front users. Busy lakes like Higgins and Houghton Lake were ruined by over usage and too many road ends.	Sep 9, 2013 1:39 PM
61	Rental cottages are taking the parking spaces. (enforcement)	Sep 9, 2013 1:19 PM
62	Parking and launch improvement.	Sep 9, 2013 1:13 PM
63	Add gravel.	Sep 9, 2013 11:47 AM
64	Keep roads ends open.	Sep 9, 2013 11:38 AM
65	Launch ramps need to be properly maintained.	Sep 9, 2013 11:22 AM
66	I don't use road-ends and believe access should be better controlled/managed.	Sep 5, 2013 2:22 PM
67	Repair the road - not road ends.	Sep 5, 2013 2:11 PM
68	A real need for work on Brutus Road.	Sep 5, 2013 1:49 PM

Page 3, Q18. Describe any improvements needed to the road ends.

69	Signage for access end roads	Sep 5, 2013 1:38 PM
70	None needed on Maple Bay. There have been ruts at times on Indian; however, they are tended by the time we return. Brutus Road is very poor with numerous pot holes	Sep 5, 2013 1:18 PM
71	The site at Hoppies was done very poorly. My husband has a bass boat and he refuses to put it in at Hoppies. Have to go to far in the water to release.	Sep 5, 2013 1:07 PM
72	Signs, parking	Sep 5, 2013 1:00 PM
73	It would be nice if you would mark the road ends where one could put a boat in on Burt Lake.	Sep 5, 2013 12:50 PM
74	They are fine. New Maple Bay - great.	Sep 5, 2013 11:59 AM
75	Boat ramp at Hoppies is to shallow.	Sep 5, 2013 11:35 AM
76	These sites and the recently upgraded Maple Bay site are adequate. The W Burt Lake DNR site should not be acquired and developed.	Sep 5, 2013 11:29 AM
77	Boat launch.	Sep 5, 2013 10:55 AM
78	More parking.	Sep 5, 2013 10:53 AM
79	Improvements done.	Sep 5, 2013 10:39 AM
80	Maple Bay is wonderful - need a waterfront restaurant there.	Sep 5, 2013 10:35 AM
81	Removal of trees planted to interfere with use.	Sep 3, 2013 11:47 AM
82	Township/Cheboygan/DNR did a good job at Maple Bay Park. BUT, all effort should be made to lobby Emmet County to resurface Brutus Road. Emmet residents are primary users of the Park. The road is dangerous.	Sep 3, 2013 11:38 AM
83	Need "No Parking" signs on Maple Bay Road.	Sep 3, 2013 11:16 AM
84	Blacktop.	Sep 3, 2013 10:45 AM
85	None	Sep 3, 2013 10:43 AM
86	Keep open to public use / whatever it takes.	Sep 3, 2013 10:15 AM
87	Mundt boat launch needs to be deeper.	Sep 3, 2013 10:10 AM

Page 4, Q19. Please rate the importance of the following types of business in Burt Township both now and for future growth:

1	Environmental	Sep 30, 2013 1:13 PM
2	Fix our roads - forget the bike trail.	Sep 26, 2013 9:32 AM
3	I think the prospect of the Griswold Racetrack is a commercial ABOMINATION!	Sep 26, 2013 9:25 AM
4	Restaurants and water front services are important.	Sep 24, 2013 2:49 PM
5	Residential - very important.	Sep 23, 2013 12:47 PM
6	Hardware store	Sep 23, 2013 12:41 PM
7	Convenience store on west side would be great to have.	Sep 23, 2013 10:00 AM
8	Like nature as it is, that is why we come here.	Sep 23, 2013 9:48 AM
9	We miss a market on Brutus/W. Burt Lake Road.	Sep 17, 2013 2:58 PM
10	Be very careful with what you invite into your home.	Sep 16, 2013 2:46 PM
11	Not sure this should be an issue for Township government as long as laws and regulations are enforced.	Sep 16, 2013 1:13 PM
12	Growth is NOT the goal. We love the Township as is.	Sep 16, 2013 11:03 AM
13	Burt Township needs to be kept rural, agricultural and Waterfront Residential to maintain the nataural beauty of the area.	Sep 16, 2013 10:47 AM
14	Not much commercial in Burt Township but we should help those we have when opportunities present - and encourage new.	Sep 16, 2013 10:21 AM
15	I don't think the goal of the Township is growth. We are happy now.	Sep 16, 2013 9:48 AM
16	Nature preserves with trails to attract people.	Sep 12, 2013 11:16 AM
17	Many factors influence the facts above. It depends where and what.	Sep 12, 2013 10:38 AM
18	Almost all legal business.	Sep 12, 2013 10:29 AM
19	Hardware store would be useful. Bakery, coffee ship would be welcome.	Sep 10, 2013 2:50 PM
20	Don't tax the businesses that are left with use permits.	Sep 10, 2013 2:37 PM
21	Important to have Stewardship, invasive species control and education	Sep 10, 2013 1:45 PM
22	I miss Burt Lake Market.	Sep 10, 2013 11:36 AM
23	Wind farming.	Sep 9, 2013 11:48 AM
24	Keep residential waterfront stipulation.	Sep 5, 2013 2:07 PM
25	Anything that produces economic development.	Sep 5, 2013 12:00 PM

Page 4, Q19. Please rate the importance of the following types of business in Burt Township both now and for future growth:

26	All business is important. As is always the case, the question is to what degree should government be involved.	Sep 3, 2013 12:52 PM
27	Recreational	Sep 3, 2013 11:26 AM

Page 4, Q22. Environment and Land Use comments:

1	University of Michigan has more money - They should protect their property.	Oct 28, 2013 9:39 AM
2	Through private preserves. Except U of M site - no funding from Burt Township.	Oct 8, 2013 2:20 PM
3	Allow lake side patios & fire pits!!	Oct 3, 2013 2:43 PM
4	The natural environment is of prime importance, lakes, skies, green spaces, quiet should be preserved and protected.	Sep 30, 2013 1:13 PM
5	Let the State protect the existing conservation areas.	Sep 30, 2013 10:46 AM
6	People live and vacation here to get away from city life. We know being here means driving for jobs and shopping. The lack of strip malls and fast food restaurants is part of our charm. It is VERY IMPORTANT to limit development and keep some access sites rustic and letting people explore without being on pavement.	Sep 26, 2013 9:54 AM
7	Open State lands and trails to everyone, including handicap and their mobile devices.	Sep 26, 2013 9:41 AM
8	It's important to preserve a pristine northwoods atmosphere in Burt Township.	Sep 26, 2013 9:36 AM
9	U of M nature preserve is a VITAL component to this community!	Sep 24, 2013 2:49 PM
10	A strong commitment to supporting environmental efforts must start with leaders who are serious about preserving the natural conditions.	Sep 24, 2013 9:33 AM
11	Do not destroy pristine north end of Burt Lake by putting a day-park.	Sep 23, 2013 12:58 PM
12	Compatibility is key in zoning considerations.	Sep 23, 2013 12:55 PM
13	We like the rural/agriculture use of the land in the Township.	Sep 23, 2013 11:41 AM
14	Keep it natural looking. It's Gods country.	Sep 23, 2013 11:20 AM
15	Preserving highest quality of land and water resources including underground water, should be the top priority - NO FRACKING.	Sep 23, 2013 10:13 AM
16	University of Michigan property is very important to us.	Sep 23, 2013 10:00 AM
17	We do not need additional parks.	Sep 23, 2013 9:46 AM
18	No boat ramp on W Burt Lake Road site.	Sep 18, 2013 2:47 PM
19	Conservation and protection of environment - adequate.	Sep 17, 2013 2:58 PM
20	Lake people won't let it happen.	Sep 17, 2013 2:51 PM
21	Same as above - preserve.	Sep 17, 2013 2:48 PM
22	I do not see a governmental role in preserving farm land - economics dictates best and highest use for land.	Sep 17, 2013 2:46 PM
23	U of Michigan and Little Traverse Conservancy sites are important to the	Sep 17, 2013 2:42 PM

Page 4, Q22. Environment and Land Use comments:

	environment.	
24	Seasonal tourism development needs to be balanced with economic development that encourages LOCAL jobs for LOCAL residents who want to work.	Sep 17, 2013 9:38 AM
25	My wife and I both run regularly with our dog on a leash on the Colonial Point peninsula and we frequently observe violations of the Michigan leash law.	Sep 16, 2013 11:50 AM
26	Do not approve of park-lakefront, due to negative impact on environment. Increase in noise pollution from transients, boaters, snowmobilers. Increase of waste and trash on lake. Destroys natural habitat for wildlife including wetlands in lake for ducks and geese.	Sep 16, 2013 10:47 AM
27	Protection and preservation is very critical to maintain what is there now.	Sep 16, 2013 10:25 AM
28	Another lakefront park would be great but that property can be expensive.	Sep 16, 2013 10:21 AM
29	We don't need a day use park! Let people use Maple Bay.	Sep 12, 2013 12:52 PM
30	Planning Commission has imposed more than sufficient restrictions on property use.	Sep 12, 2013 11:58 AM
31	There is plenty of access to the lake. Don't add more.	Sep 12, 2013 11:53 AM
32	No more paving of gravel roads, for aesthetics and future cost control reasons. More expensive to maintain pavement and pavement provides greater barrier to natural wildlife movement. Secure DNR property on north end of W Burt Lake Road as public park for light public use (no boat launch etc).	Sep 12, 2013 11:16 AM
33	Must be done without tax money.	Sep 12, 2013 11:06 AM
34	University of Michigan - State land be taken care of by its owners.	Sep 10, 2013 2:10 PM
35	Maintain quiet, peaceful setting and protect water resources.	Sep 10, 2013 1:45 PM
36	Leave as is.	Sep 10, 2013 1:19 PM
37	Burt Lake - tax audit(?): Education regarding septic system maintenance. Township should encourage the green belts.	Sep 10, 2013 11:53 AM
38	The bike trail was destructive enough to the environment. We do not need further development and destruction.	Sep 10, 2013 11:36 AM
39	Strongly advise people owning property around launch sites to not discourage people from using them.	Sep 10, 2013 11:27 AM
40	Not the DNR property on the lake - do not buy.	Sep 10, 2013 11:13 AM
41	Maximum freedom of use with moral law and stewardship. Energy production is okay.	Sep 10, 2013 10:44 AM
42	Support U of M.	Sep 10, 2013 10:40 AM

Page 4, Q22. Environment and Land Use comments:

43	No day parks	Sep 10, 2013 10:36 AM
44	If it means getting the State out of money problems- do it only if they make sure the environment is protected.	Sep 10, 2013 10:05 AM
45	Some wetlands should be re-classified.	Sep 10, 2013 9:36 AM
46	Yes, but NO rezoning for any proposed day park.	Sep 10, 2013 9:30 AM
47	I love how clean and well maintained the lake is. I would hate to change that at all!	Sep 9, 2013 2:18 PM
48	It seems like the main industry now is tourism. We need an additional basic industry or two.	Sep 9, 2013 1:51 PM
49	Preservation and protection of the lake and forests is key to our quality of life.	Sep 9, 2013 1:40 PM
50	Cannot have city development without city water and sewers.	Sep 9, 2013 1:19 PM
51	U of M should do own conservation.	Sep 9, 2013 11:48 AM
52	North Burt Lake area is unique. Do not allow development or commercial endeavors to spoil it.	Sep 9, 2013 11:32 AM
53	More preserved natural land with hiking and skiing access.	Sep 9, 2013 11:03 AM
54	Encourage land owners to tidy up unsightly blithe i.e. across from Hoppies on Burt/Mullett	Sep 9, 2013 10:21 AM
55	Environment Comment - must be vigilant!	Sep 5, 2013 2:53 PM
56	Strongly oppose racetrack in Tuscarora Township.	Sep 5, 2013 2:26 PM
57	Township could be less restrictive about storage buildings in certain areas such as East of I-75.	Sep 5, 2013 2:17 PM
58	Keep residential waterfront - NO PARKS	Sep 5, 2013 2:07 PM
59	Very important.	Sep 5, 2013 1:38 PM
60	They should maintain their own.	Sep 5, 2013 1:08 PM
61	Most of U of M is getting fenced in on past access points.	Sep 5, 2013 1:04 PM
62	Prevent overpopulation/development like new proposed W Burt Park.	Sep 5, 2013 12:56 PM
63	Support nature preserves.	Sep 5, 2013 11:39 AM
64	The W Burt Lake Road/DNR site if acquired would represent an un-needed environmental burden on the Lake.	Sep 5, 2013 11:31 AM
65	Too many restrictions on homeowners use of their lakefront property. ie: patio with fire pit by the lake.	Sep 5, 2013 11:01 AM

Page 4, Q22. Environment and Land Use comments:

66	I don't think it is Burt Townships place.	Sep 5, 2013 10:40 AM
67	Consistent with land adjacent.	Sep 5, 2013 10:32 AM
68	Limit development; preserve open farmlands, meadows, woodlands. Stop cutting down trees.	Sep 5, 2013 10:12 AM
69	We are grateful for UMBS land protection in this Township.	Sep 3, 2013 1:21 PM
70	The question is: should the Township or Township government's role be one of "preserving" or protecting land or land use? I think not.	Sep 3, 2013 12:52 PM
71	But not property in 3000 block W. Burt Lake Road.	Sep 3, 2013 10:26 AM
72	Our strongest assets are our natural resources.	Sep 3, 2013 10:16 AM

Page 5, Q26. Economy, Housing and Growth Management comments:

1	Why can't growth be planned & encouraged? Why only planned & limited?	Oct 28, 2013 9:40 AM
2	I would prefer planned but NOT limited growth.	Oct 24, 2013 9:16 AM
3	Rural - Dark Sky attributes - all lighting to be Dark Sky compatible.	Oct 8, 2013 2:21 PM
4	Leave it the way it is, it's beautiful.	Sep 30, 2013 10:47 AM
5	Along with a goal of no growth, have a plan for where limited growth can occur, keeping apartment in the city philosophy.	Sep 26, 2013 9:55 AM
6	No management activities, please!	Sep 24, 2013 2:50 PM
7	Don't spoil the very things that bring people to the area - natural beauty, quiet surroundings and up North hospitality.	Sep 17, 2013 9:39 AM
8	Burt Lake will not benefit from growth.	Sep 16, 2013 11:03 AM
9	Planning is very critical, some control needed.	Sep 16, 2013 10:26 AM
10	Allow/encourage property owners to accomplish their own goals.	Sep 16, 2013 10:22 AM
11	Growth should take its own course based on economy. The Township does not and should not expand resources to stimulate growth.	Sep 16, 2013 10:07 AM
12	Growth will destroy the wonderful natural resources of the Township.	Sep 16, 2013 9:49 AM
13	Complete walking/bike trail as proposed.	Sep 12, 2013 11:17 AM
14	Is it possible to pave Sturgeon Bay Road, at least to the boat launch (I know it's Tuscarora Twp) but it should belong to Burt Township. (it is a mess and when boat trailers rumble along it they create ruts - uneven bumps, dust and yuck to drive on - sheesh!	Sep 10, 2013 2:54 PM
15	Light manufacturing, tourism, pubs, etc.	Sep 10, 2013 2:38 PM
16	Current residents, with guidance from our strong zoning laws, are taking care of their own environment, management, and renovating issues. We do not need government intervention in the name of growth.	Sep 10, 2013 2:06 PM
17	Protect green infrastructure.	Sep 10, 2013 1:46 PM
18	Many of the people on the lake rent their houses which is against the rules of the area. Nothing is done.	Sep 10, 2013 1:33 PM
19	Don't screw up what we have!	Sep 10, 2013 11:42 AM
20	Leave Burt Lake alone.	Sep 10, 2013 11:36 AM
21	Leave it the way it is - that's why we live here.	Sep 10, 2013 11:02 AM
22	What kind of growth is being discussed?	Sep 10, 2013 10:26 AM
23	It's not the townships job.	Sep 10, 2013 10:10 AM

Page 5, Q26. Economy, Housing and Growth Management comments:

24	None needed - keep and enforce our local zoning.	Sep 10, 2013 9:31 AM
25	I do not want the lake to be any more busy or polluted!	Sep 9, 2013 2:18 PM
26	If you want a city life, move to the city. Don't destroy the country.	Sep 9, 2013 1:20 PM
27	Fewer trailers.	Sep 9, 2013 11:48 AM
28	All rural land should be preserved at all costs. This is what lends charm and value to lake front property.	Sep 9, 2013 11:33 AM
29	Any growth must be planned, verses unlimited.	Sep 5, 2013 2:23 PM
30	There is very little land zoned commercial in Township.	Sep 5, 2013 2:17 PM
31	Encourage town centers communities for economic growth for business.	Sep 5, 2013 1:40 PM
32	I would like growth to thrive!	Sep 5, 2013 1:08 PM
33	No apartments/condos development.	Sep 5, 2013 12:57 PM
34	Show us how to lower the cost of services so taxes can be reduced.	Sep 5, 2013 10:50 AM
35	Planned growth	Sep 5, 2013 10:20 AM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

1	Overall excellent job of running/managing our Township!	Oct 21, 2013 10:01 AM
2	Not being able to vote, is a flaw in our Constitution of Michigan. US Constitution states: against the law , taxation without representation.	Oct 17, 2013 2:42 PM
3	As a part-year resident, I have a unique perspective on the lake, my neighbors, the environment and Township services. I come here for those qualities: the lake, the beauty, the quiet and the respect for one another. I would be saddened to see a race track introduced near the lake, for the loss of those qualities.	Sep 30, 2013 1:14 PM
4	We only have 8 acres of unimproved property. Have not utilized any of the Township facilities and therefore cannot complete questionnaire.	Sep 30, 2013 11:55 AM
5	The Township waste our money on everything but our roads, like the horsepath trail instead of the road. You can maintain the cost of the trail but not the roads, why?	Sep 30, 2013 10:48 AM
6	Why should we pay to bury power lines & bring natural gas? Let the power and gas company pay for it.	Sep 30, 2013 10:42 AM
7	Would favor assessment of east end Burt for resurfacing of Eagles Nest Road. That road is dangerous/unsafe.	Sep 30, 2013 10:39 AM
8	Wild animals, natural habitat and lack of people give Burt Township its charm. Keeping it rural is very important as Petoskey, Mackinaw City, etc. grow. Tourists, etc. who want entertainment and luxuries can go to the city - we're running out of places to sit on the beach or walk in the woods and see wildlife in a real natural setting.	Sep 26, 2013 9:58 AM
9	Encourage summer residents to have more input in Township affairs even though they have no vote.	Sep 26, 2013 9:42 AM
10	I came here from a big city to "get away". I DON'T want to see it all built up and commercialized. The unfair taxation to lake-front owners means breaking up the lake front and ruining the natural shores and forestation just for the ALL MIGHTY DOLLAR!	Sep 26, 2013 9:29 AM
11	We will look like fools to the DNR to now change our minds and allow rezoning of the farmer Garnecki property. We will now have NO legal grounds to stand on when someone else wants a special use permit for anything and you try to deny it.	Sep 24, 2013 2:57 PM
12	We like this community because of its recreational opportunities and rural environment.	Sep 24, 2013 2:51 PM
13	I'm tired of the "lake owners" making all the decisions especially when they are only here part time.	Sep 24, 2013 11:22 AM
14	We are totally opposed to the Township ever using the DNR property at the north end for a day park - it is residential and must remain so!	Sep 24, 2013 9:47 AM
15	In the past I have attended Township meetings and suggested some of the environmental conditions about yard waste. At that point there was laughter and told dump it in the woods!	Sep 24, 2013 9:35 AM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

16	Open boat launch on North end of Burt Lake.	Sep 24, 2013 9:26 AM
17	A property taxpayer for many years - I find questions 28, 29 and 31 irrelevant.	Sep 23, 2013 12:49 PM
18	Our lakeside home is taxed much too highly for a second home on a dirt road.	Sep 23, 2013 12:42 PM
19	I do not support the race track. If I can hear rumble strips on I-75 at all times . . .I will be able to hear the track - even practice times which will be often. I'm in favor of the birds, boats and the wind, not race cars or motor bikes! I would also like a local paper that reports local news and not the personal agenda of Scott Swanson(?) and associates!!!	Sep 23, 2013 11:55 AM
20	Keep the road ends open and maintained. Same with road improvements - pave and maintain. Big dump day in June is a good way to get rid of junk. Maple Bay Boat launch improvement is outstanding.	Sep 23, 2013 11:43 AM
21	I would like to see Greenmans Point Road black topped in 2014. I will be 95 years old. Helped pay for Feather Land but since my husbands death I do not use it. It would help to keep my driveway and garage clean. Thank you.	Sep 23, 2013 11:25 AM
22	I'm shocked the Township/survey taker is not interested in the age of respondents and number of children in the household. In planning for parks, that seems an essential question. This is the worst survey I've ever participated. Questions are doubled up, skewed and biased. There are many questions about would you want - but no questions about would you pay. I wish the Township would have spent money to have a true survey company develop and distribute the survey. It's obvious that M. C. Planning and Design is looking to develop another park and milk Burt Township for money along the way. Finally - How could you not pose the question do you think the Township Trustees are doing a good job - basic really.	Sep 23, 2013 11:03 AM
23	Northern Michigan used to be a good place to go for allergy sufferers, not anymore. Ragweed in particular is much worse up northern than it is in southeastern lower Michigan. Greater steps should be taken to eliminate this noxious weed, including education of property owners who don't even know they have it on their land.	Sep 23, 2013 10:23 AM
24	We vacation throughout the year at our cottage - although our cottage isn't lakefront, we do own property on the lake.	Sep 23, 2013 9:52 AM
25	We come for the peace and nature away from the city noise. We drive 1,300 miles for this. Don't take it away.	Sep 23, 2013 9:49 AM
26	I would like to see a non-motorized transportation plan developed in Burt Township in conjunction with the Cheboygan County Road Commission. This would help get priorities set for road maintenance by the Road Commission and perhaps obtain grants for paved shoulders on roads such as Mullet-Burt that are badly in need of repair and maintenance as well as providing a shoulder to walk or bike on more safely.	Sep 23, 2013 9:44 AM
27	People on the lake decide what's right or wrong for others.	Sep 17, 2013 2:52 PM
28	We really like the idea of expanding the availability of natural gas in the	Sep 17, 2013 2:46 PM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

	Township.	
29	A+ on Service Road pavement. THANKS! Nice to see promises kept to Township citizens.	Sep 17, 2013 9:41 AM
30	I would like to see better communication from the Township, starting with posting minutes of meetings on the WEB site. This should not take months.	Sep 16, 2013 2:58 PM
31	We are strongly opposed to the proposed north arm day park. Township did a very unsatisfactory job of informing residents about the bike trail. What information that was available was vague and misleading and that information came only after much questioning. The final trail was not anything like the promises and did not take residents opinions into consideration. Because of the experience with the bike trail, we have no reason to believe any of the so called Township "improvements" will by any better.	Sep 16, 2013 2:50 PM
32	Burt Lake is my favorite place on earth.	Sep 16, 2013 1:20 PM
33	We do not want the Burt Lake Trail on the east side of E Burt Lake Rd north of Lawless Road. We value our privacy. We never had a chance to express our opinion or a chance to vote on it. We just had it shoved down our throats!	Sep 16, 2013 1:05 PM
34	Lower property taxes! Houses not anywhere near value claimed.	Sep 16, 2013 12:46 PM
35	No, I will not support a millage for Park/Lakefront development. No waterfront parks are needed.	Sep 16, 2013 12:05 PM
36	We are strongly opposed to the purchase of the DNR property on W Burt Lake Road for use as a day park. Operations and maintenance for the proposed park are unfunded liabilities that are not likely to be funded by those who might use the park. We are not convinced that the proposed park would be used enough to justify the expenses required to maintain the proposed park.	Sep 16, 2013 11:53 AM
37	It is a great place to be.	Sep 16, 2013 11:47 AM
38	I'll support recall of board members, as necessary. 1.) Survey is skewed and fiscally irresponsible in regard to questions on "Lakefront" park. I do not support millage or tax increase to perpetually maintain a "Lakefront" park of any kind! 2.) This survey as written will be legally challenged. 3.) DNR/Garnicki property should be immediately sold and zoning should be 'Waterfront Residential" which is consistent with current "Master Plan". Property is intended to be 'WR" 4.) DNR/Garnicki property should be 'immediately' sold as waterfront residential to a private resident. Township would benefit from revenue from property taxes. 5.) Negative impact of park includes: cost of perpetual maintenance, cost of landscaping (including natural barrier @ south end of property), cost of vandalism on property and neighboring property, cost of liability for injury or death, cost of insurance for injury, death, vandalism. Environmental impact: NOISE pollution - boaters and land users, trash, destruction of Natural wetland at beach. Non supervision of rowdy and lewd behavior, drug, alcohol abuse, etc.	Sep 16, 2013 10:57 AM
39	Some of the items do not apply to us since there is no residence/building on the land.	Sep 16, 2013 10:26 AM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

40	The new bike trail look very nice, although it seems to me a colossal waste of money.	Sep 16, 2013 10:23 AM
41	Keep up the good work that you do. You have support in this community.	Sep 16, 2013 10:13 AM
42	Burt Township should annex Plymouth Beach, then maybe we can get Eagles Nest paved.	Sep 16, 2013 10:11 AM
43	I am an out of State property owner. Have been for over 30 years. I own vacant land. Hard for me to answer most of this.	Sep 16, 2013 9:56 AM
44	I feel my tax assessment is too high. My challenge of the assessment resulted in an unsatisfactory result. I do not want the Township to create a day park.	Sep 16, 2013 9:53 AM
45	I want to make clear that I am opposed to the construction or establishment of any new recreational facilities regardless of whether tax dollars are used for construction and/or maintenance.	Sep 16, 2013 9:50 AM
46	Use email and save dollars. Thank you.	Sep 12, 2013 1:16 PM
47	My parents have owned property on the lake since 1968. We bought and built property and house in 1998-1999.	Sep 12, 2013 1:09 PM
48	It appears as though some of the zoning restrictions are over. Done and unnecessary.	Sep 12, 2013 1:04 PM
49	The Township doesn't need a day use park. Who would maintain it - the taxpayers! Kill the idea.	Sep 12, 2013 12:54 PM
50	Effort should be made to eliminate blighted property.	Sep 12, 2013 12:10 PM
51	Registered voter question is not relevant. Land owners ability to pay real estate taxes is not endless.	Sep 12, 2013 11:59 AM
52	We are against the proposed lakefront park - DNR property.	Sep 12, 2013 11:21 AM
53	Lower speed limits on E and W Burt Lake Roads to 45mph with occasional speed indication devices (that flash: "You are going X mph")	Sep 12, 2013 11:18 AM
54	The community should be more openly advised of programs and projects before they are done and over with.	Sep 12, 2013 11:07 AM
55	Where is the parking for your new bike trail - other than Maple Bay - seems residents now have their own "Private Trail".	Sep 12, 2013 11:02 AM
56	1st sent to Pellston address - no longer there.	Sep 12, 2013 10:55 AM
57	Does my survey count only if I am a voter?	Sep 12, 2013 10:30 AM
58	I'm keeping the original cause I heard you don't count everybody and don't look out for farmers.	Sep 12, 2013 10:15 AM
59	My neighbor said you don't count all the surveys.	Sep 12, 2013 10:00 AM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

60	There are enough parks and accesses on Burt Lake. Stop wasting taxpayer money providing services for non Burt residents.	Sep 12, 2013 9:28 AM
61	Top priority needs to be re-paving of Brutus Road in Emmet County. The most pitiful road condition in North Michigan!! Directly affects the image and quality of Burt Township.	Sep 12, 2013 9:25 AM
62	I don't agree with everything that the Township is doing but I am generally pleased with our Township officials.	Sep 12, 2013 9:19 AM
63	Thank you for improving service road and Eagles Nest Road. I would like to see weeds trimmed on these roadsides since if traffic approached, walkers must step off road and we are knee deep in weeds and bugs and poison ivy may lurk. It is best to build 'wide' roads so walkers and bikers have better access.	Sep 10, 2013 2:57 PM
64	Township elected officials do a very good job overall. I believe we are missing the boat on a connector trail from W. Mullett to Pellston. Snowmobilers spend money. Could be a year round trail, bikers, hikers, skiers, snowmobilers would help local businesses, i.e. economy.	Sep 10, 2013 2:40 PM
65	Natural gas, Internet services are much needed in Burt Township. Also, the current roads are very dangerous for biking. A paved bike path in addition to new roads are badly needed.	Sep 10, 2013 2:27 PM
66	No tax money for a park. No park, period.	Sep 10, 2013 2:22 PM
67	Would like to see Eagles Nest Road repaved.	Sep 10, 2013 2:18 PM
68	Property around this part of the lake is home to a lot of people - I see no need to provide a park for undesirables and party-goers: party on a daily basis.	Sep 10, 2013 2:13 PM
69	Burt Township is a quiet gem in the midst of the rapidly growing and busy tourist economy of Northern Michigan. Without new attractions and government "growth planning" there is hope that we will stay "undiscovered."	Sep 10, 2013 2:07 PM
70	Not yet, but maybe soon.	Sep 10, 2013 1:46 PM
71	The zoning laws are in black and white yet every time one of my neighbors has asked for a variance it is granted - when stipulations are made to the variance nobody checks to see they are carried out.	Sep 10, 2013 1:37 PM
72	Strongly OPPOSED to the Burt Township Park on Garnecki Property on W Burt Lake Road.	Sep 10, 2013 1:20 PM
73	I am concerned about property values of homes near the proposed park declining and resale prospects diminishing.	Sep 10, 2013 1:16 PM
74	I strongly oppose a park on west Burt Lake Road or buying the land from the State - a truly wacky idea!	Sep 10, 2013 11:46 AM
75	Our family has been on the same property for more than 100 years.	Sep 10, 2013 11:37 AM
76	Do not get in the park business - inadequate population. Do fix the roads. Do install force main sewer system to help the lake. Don't build any more Township	Sep 10, 2013 11:15 AM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

	facilities. Do not create police force.	
77	I am sending in a copy as I want to make sure my survey is not tampered with and the answers are recorded as marked.	Sep 10, 2013 11:03 AM
78	#28, no residence, only garage.	Sep 10, 2013 10:59 AM
79	My parents purchased this property many years ago from my Dads coworker as an investment. My Dad died in 1989 and my Mom lives in a nursing facility in Ohio. My brother owns an adjacent lot.	Sep 10, 2013 10:47 AM
80	Burt Township should stop its efforts to develop a park at West Burt Lake Road site. Property should be sold by the DNR for private use.	Sep 10, 2013 10:29 AM
81	Transfer stations could be source of additional revenue. Perhaps charge non-Burt folks more.	Sep 10, 2013 9:45 AM
82	No day park on W Burt Lake Road.	Sep 10, 2013 9:31 AM
83	On behalf of my husband Oliver Stolley and myself, as property owners of 2168 Beauwood Lane, and also on behalf of myself and my parents Robyn R. Scott and Norman Scott, as property owners of 2100 Elmhurst Rd., I am writing to express our unequivocable opposition to the recent Burt Township Recreation Plan for a proposed day park to be located on the DNR/ "Garnecki" property on Burt Lake. My great grandfather Doc Peterson purchased 2100 Elmhurst Lane more than 60 years ago. My 86 year old grandfather Jack Peterson still travels from Florida to spend every summer on Burt Lake. Our small kids (ages 3 and 5) call the lake their favorite place in the world. Our family loves Burt Lake, and particularly the north end. In fact, we love it so much that my husband and I recently purchased an additional home with lake access at 2168 Beauwood. The north end has, in our opinion, always been the best part of the Lake. Residents are able to have a quiet cup of coffee in the mornings and participate in numerous water activities without being concerned about interference from non-resident boaters. We can safely sail, swim and kayak on a regular basis because we live on the north end. We are extremely concerned that the proposed day park will jeopardize the very serenity and safety we have grown to love over the last 60 plus years. Let me be clear: The proposed park will NOT benefit the residents of Burt Township. Our family does not need a day park, we will not use a day park, and we do not wish to pay for a day park. The proposed park WILL result in increased traffic on the Lake and increased non-resident traffic to our area. Furthermore, without significant financial investment in security and maintenance, the park will be dangerous, unkept, and dirty. We see ZERO advantage to this proposal and we cannot understand the Township's desire to invest our tax payer dollars into unwanted infrastructure. We strongly urge you to invest your time and our money in necessary infrastructure and projects preserving the natural beauty of Burt Lake rather than projects promoting increased use of the lake by non-residents. Burt Lake is not a public amusement park, it is our home.	Sep 9, 2013 2:31 PM
84	There is NO need for a park at the North End. It WILL cause more boat traffic and pollution!	Sep 9, 2013 2:19 PM
85	The people making the decisions for this area must understand the importance	Sep 9, 2013 2:07 PM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

	of growth. The year round residents need to be able to earn a living. I feel as though some decisions are anti-growth.	
86	With its small population I fail to see why Burt Township has its own Zoning Ordinance. It should rely on Cheboygan County. I don't believe there are enough qualified residents to properly fill all the boards and commissions.	Sep 9, 2013 1:57 PM
87	Planned, limited growth will preserve the area for clean living and tourism economy year round.	Sep 9, 2013 1:41 PM
88	We feel that with neighbors that live in trailers we should not have been forces to build before we were ready. We build during a time when the cost to build was over $60,000 over what our house is now worth maybe more. Also, S Extension Road between Topinabee Mail Route and Mullett-Burt Road needs to be paved. Mullett Township should fix their end of Topinabee Mail Route.	Sep 9, 2013 1:33 PM
89	You are doing a great job on roads especially compared to neighboring township.	Sep 9, 2013 11:49 AM
90	Don't allow unregulated growth to spoil our Township as in the case of Petoskey or Traverse City.	Sep 9, 2013 11:34 AM
91	I support and respect the Township Boards responsibility to all Township residents. I do not support the Township Boards efforts to acquire the Garnecki property on West Burt Lake Road for a day park. The property use has been to the Supreme Court and its "Zoning" is and needs to be protected as zoned. The County, State or Township owning the property is wrong.	Sep 9, 2013 11:26 AM
92	Own vacant land.	Sep 9, 2013 11:08 AM
93	Thanks for asking our opinion!	Sep 9, 2013 11:06 AM
94	Property owner - no house.	Sep 5, 2013 2:53 PM
95	We are very supportive of a park on the north end of the lake.	Sep 5, 2013 2:46 PM
96	Road condition should be top priority, Mullett-Burt Road is in poor condition from Hoppies to Mail Rt. Mail Rt needs to be maintained better, it is getting narrow. Other than that things are good. I feel that improvements are not always necessary, lets keep taxes LOW.	Sep 5, 2013 2:19 PM
97	In general I think Burt Township is well managed. I am a vacation home owner for 43 years. My family will carry on.	Sep 5, 2013 1:52 PM
98	For future surveys consider internet surveys using "Survey Monkey". It will call results quickly if set-up correctly. You would need Burt Township email addresses from residents.	Sep 5, 2013 1:44 PM
99	I am a lot owner on White Goose Bay. I think the taxes I pay are outragesly high. I've had my property for sale now for 6 years - unable to sell. Not once in all the years have taxes gone down. That was okay until 2008 when the recession started. All properties in MI and the U.S. went down at about that time. My property tax went up. My property value went up through all these years. I presently am trying to sell my lot with no luck. I have lowered my price on my lot	Sep 5, 2013 1:32 PM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

	6 times so I can sell. My property tax has gone up. I think the tax assessor is wrong but my saying so has not done any good. How can property taxes go up when all over MI they went down. Even the taxes paid on my home in lower MI went down. How unfair is this. How can I sell my property if my taxes go up on my lot while all over the country and MI taxes went down? If I was looking for a lot I would never consider buying on Burt Lake where taxation is so unfair. A very unhappy lot owner.	
100	Not a year round resident.	Sep 5, 2013 1:11 PM
101	Preserving the lake quality is important to the value of taxpayers properties. No park on W. Burt Lake Road. No apartments/condos.	Sep 5, 2013 12:58 PM
102	The Township Board idea to develop the Granecki property is a waste of time with money they do note have. The effort they have placed on this project should be grounds to vote all of them out of office.	Sep 5, 2013 12:02 PM
103	We strongly oppose a public park for any use on Burt Lake. We strongly oppose light industry - we do not want a similar situation happening here as it has in Boyne City!! Why only one survey/household? Household members have differing opinions.	Sep 5, 2013 11:50 AM
104	Overall the Township is very well managed. Consideration of possible acquisition of DNR land in W Burt Lake Road runs counter to the vision and foresight of the community and should not be undertaken no matter the source of funds.	Sep 5, 2013 11:33 AM
105	Stop spending - lower taxes. We pay huge tax and receive nothing. Services are the dump and we have to pay extra for that.	Sep 5, 2013 10:52 AM
106	The demographic in this Township has become more polarized in recent years between waterfront seasonal and off water residents. Much of this reflects economics. The off water people work for those on waterfront. This is accentuated by UBLA (United Burt Lake Association). A return to BTA (Burt Township Association) would give everyone a stake in what happens here.	Sep 3, 2013 1:21 PM
107	Have Emmet County resurface Brutus Road - not patch. Encourage DNR Fishery to stop walleye tournaments of Burt/Mullet. No Parking signs on Maple Bay Road near park and enforce. Maple Bay, Chickagami, Indian Point speed posted 25mph.	Sep 3, 2013 11:44 AM
108	Please, lower speed limits on Mullett Burt Road	Sep 3, 2013 11:27 AM
109	Sell the Garnecki Property - NO PARK.	Sep 3, 2013 11:10 AM
110	You are doing a good job - Please keep it up. A special thank you for paving Eagle Nest Service Drive. Tuscarora Township can go to Hell!!. Thank you for letting us participate and listening to us. Keep up the good work.	Sep 3, 2013 11:07 AM
111	I want whatever pressure applied to Tuscarora Township to PAVE Eagles Nest Road.	Sep 3, 2013 10:21 AM
112	Thank you for the opportunity for input.	Sep 3, 2013 10:17 AM
113	I would like to know why no vote was taken for the bike trail.	Sep 3, 2013 9:57 AM

Page 6, Q32. Additional Comments:

114	Burt Lake has adequate public access. Sell the DNR property that is zoned residential to a reputable developer. Carefully review the developers plans and allow the valuable property to be built upon. Quit the process of trying to make a public park - we can't sustain it in terms of money, upkeep, cleanup, lake shore maintenance. Getting a public grant does not mean that the grant money would be used wisely. It probably would become a "party" area.	Sep 3, 2013 9:54 AM